Exhibit 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA TRIAL FXHIRIT Document 1935-2 Filed 05/21/16

3 der

B

5 ≅


4 on


7 pars

Android GPS

San Francisc

Key strategic decisions around Open Source





GOOGLE CONFIDENTIAL

July 26, 2005

Google

TRIAL EXHIBIT CASE NO. 10-03561 WHA

Oracle America, Inc. v. Google Inc. 3:10-cv-03561-WHA

GOOGLE-00-0001772

DEPUTY CLERK

ΒY

DATE ENTERED_

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -

Agenda

Oracle America, Inc. v. Google Inc.

3:10-cv-03561-WHA

- Status Update
- What is Android?
- Near-term strategic decisions
- Which type of Open Source are we?
- How do we interact with OSS community?
- How do we Open Source our JVM?





July 26, 2005

Trial Exhibit 1, Page 2 of 10

GOOGLE-00-00001774

Status: Hide the food, Android is here

Where: Building 41, second floor

 Group meeting: Presented to wireless team. Making contacts and working well as a group

Development status: Perforce server installed.

Engineers are coding again.

· Strategy: Working with Deep, Nikesh and others to help define Android strategy going forward.

GPS: Full-fledged GPS at a future date.

Demo: Available upon request.

Google

July 26, 2005

GOOGLE CONFIDENTIAL

Oracle America, Inc. v. Google Inc. 3:10-cv-03561-WHA

What is Android?

Open Source handset solution with built-in Project Android is building the world's first Google applications



GOOGLE CONFIDENTIAL

July 26, 2005

Trial Exhibit 1, Page 4 of 10

The Model

incorporate its Open Source OS into handset designs. Google works closely with Carriers and OEMs to help

- The Carriers benefit from the ability to quickly deploy differentiating features and applications.
- The OEMs benefit from the above, and a dramatic reduction in BOM costs by utilizing a robust, free consumer OS platform.
- Google benefits by having more control of the user experience and built-in Google apps.

July 26, 2005

GOOGLE CONFIDENTIAL

3:10-cv-03561-WHA

Oracle America, Inc. v. Google Inc.

Why Open Source*

two dominant industry players: MSFT and Symbian To disrupt the closed and proprietary nature of the

To provide Carriers and OEMs a non-threatening solution for cross-vendor compatibility

To eventually build a community force around Google handset APIs and applications * Our OSS license is a rider on a mainstream license such as Mozilla that requires licensee to maintain compatibility with Google APIs

July 26, 2005

GOOGLE CONFIDENTIAL



Which OSS Model?

Build product

product has reached a certain level of stability it is released as A strategy where development happens internally, and once open source.

Community effort

A strategy where development is a collaborative effort between there is a publicly accessible source code repository with a community of external Open Source developers. Typically internal Google resources and a larger more diverse informal check-in procedure.

July 26, 2005

GOOGLE CONFIDENTIAL



Trial Exhibit 1, Page 7 of 10 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

JAVA

- Why Java?
- Carriers require it
- MSFT will never do it
- Elegant tools story
- Safe sandbox for 3rd party developers
- Existing pool of developers and applications
 - Who pays? OEM pays sun a license, typically < .30 in volume



GOOGLE

July 26, 2005

GOOGLE CONFIDENTIAL

Trial Exhibit 1, Page 8 of 10

JAVA

Java + Javascript/XML = Key differentiator

Current scenario:

- Developing a clean-room implementation of a JVM
- Need coffee-cup logo for carrier certifications
- Must take license from Sun
- Cost isn't the issue, open source JVM is the issue

Proposal:

- Google/Android, with support from Tim Lindholm, negotiates the first OSS J2ME JVM license with Sun
- Outcome could reinforce our JVM development, or perhaps result in us convincing Sun to Open Source their MVM implementation.

Google

July 26, 2005

GOOGLE CONFIDENTIAL

Oracle America, Inc. v. Google Inc. 3:10-cv-03561-WHA

Google

GOOGLE CONFIDENTIAL

July 26, 2005

Trial Exhibit 1, Page 10 of 10

End